Franchise Tax Board

SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Author: Dutra	Analyst:	Darrine Dister	ano Bill Number: AB 79	
Related Bills: See Prior Analysis	Telephone	e: <u>845-6458</u>	Amended Date:	May 27, 2003
	Attorney:	Patrick Kusia	spon:	sor:
SUBJECT: State Agencies/Reports to the Legislature				
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended				
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.				
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended				
X FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.				
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO				
REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED <u>December 23, 2002</u> X STILL APPLIES.				
X OTHER - See comments below.				
SUMMARY This bill would limit the number of reports made by a state or local agency to the Legislature, Governor, or any state legislative or executive body.				
SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS				
The May 27, 2003, amendments:				
 Add the term "public agency" to mean any state or local agency or district, including but not limited to, a school district, the University of California, the California State University, and the California Community Colleges. Add the term "written report" to mean a document required by statute to be prepared and submitted to the Legislature, the Governor, or any state legislative or executive body. Add "any state legislative or executive body" to the recipients that may or may not receive a written report. Add several more reports that would continue to be prepared and submitted to the Legislature, Governor, or any state legislative or executive body. 				
The implementation considerations discussed in the department's analysis of the bill as amended March 19, 2003, still apply and are included below for the author's convenience. In addition, the May 27 th amendments resulted in a new technical consideration that is discussed below.				
The department's analysis of this bill as amended March 19, 2003, still applies. Board Position: Legislative Director Date				
S		NP NAR PENDING	Brian Putler	6/05/03

Assembly Bill 79 (Dutra) Amended May 27, 2003 Page 2

POSITION

Pending.

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

It is expected that under this bill, Franchise Tax Board (FTB) would continue the data collection, research, and documentation necessary for its programs and for other state agencies that would continue to request the information. For example, FTB is required annually to report the changes made by Congress to the Internal Revenue Code. This report provides the information needed to consider whether state tax law should be conformed to federal tax law changes. This bill may supersede the present requirement that this report be published. However, it would still be necessary for this data to be developed and to be provided to the Legislature to allow the Legislature to make informed decisions annually on whether to conform state tax law to federal tax law. Therefore, implementing this bill would not significantly impact FTB's programs and operations.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Subdivision (b) would no longer require a report to be submitted unless "the report is specified in subdivision (b)." The amendment has the reports listed under subdivision (c). On page 2, line 15, the author may wish to replace "(b)" with "(c)."

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Darrine Distefano Brian Putler

Franchise Tax Board Franchise Tax Board

845-6458 845-6333

<u>Darrine Distefano@ftb.ca.gov</u> <u>Brian.Putler@ftb.ca.gov</u>